

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

CONFIRMATION NO. ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. FIRST NAMED INVENTOR FILING DATE 1888 APPLICATION NO. 04435/79243 JOSEPH A. SORGE 06/23/1999 09/338,855 03/19/2002 EXAMINER 7590 KATHLEEN MADDEN WILLIAMS CHAKRABARTI, ARUN K BANNER & WITCOFF LTD 28 STATE STREET PAPER NUMBER ART UNIT 28TH FLOOR BOSTON, MA 02109

1634 DATE MAILED: 03/19/2002

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application No.

Applicant(s)

09/338,855

Sorge

Advisory Action

Examiner
Arun Chakrabarti

Art Unit 1634

The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address	
THE REPLY FILED Mar 4, 2002 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid the abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	
THE PERIOD FOR REPLY Icheck only a) or DJ	
a) X The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection.	
b) In view of the early submission of the proposed reply (within two months as set forth in MPEP § 706.07 (f)), the period for reply expires on the mailing date of this Advisory Action, OR continues to run from the mailing date of the final rejection, whichever expires on the mailing date of this Advisory Action, OR continues to run from the mailing date of the final is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for the reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.	,
rejection. Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply original appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the Shortened Statutory period for reply original appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the Shortened Statutory period for reply original appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the Shortened Statutory period for reply original appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the Shortened Statutory period for reply original appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the Shortened Statutory period for reply original appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the Shortened Statutory period for reply original appropriate extension feel appropriate extension f	ly
1. \square A Notice of Appeal was filed on Mar 4, 2002 . Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.	
2. The proposed amendment(s) will be entered upon the timely submission of a Notice of Appeal and Appeal Brief with requisite fees.	
3 X The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:	
(a) ✓ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (See NOTE below);	
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter. (See NOTE below);	
(c) $oldsymbol{X}$ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the	
i-aura for anneals and/or	
(d) \square they present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.	
NOTE: See attached sheet.	_
4. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):	—
5. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in separate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claim(s).	a
6. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:	
7. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raise by the Examiner in the final rejection.	d d
8. \boxtimes For purposes of Appeal, the status of the claim(s) is as follows (see attached written explanation, if any):	
Claim(s) allowed:	_
Claim(s) rejected: 1-3, 57-74, and145-156	_
9. \square The proposed drawing correction filed on a) \square has b) \square has not been approved by the Exami	ner
- Commented (DTO 1449) Paper No(s)	
10. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1445) Paper Nots:	
10. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	
10. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1445) Paper Nots:	

Application/Control Number: 09/338,855

Art Unit: 1634

Applicant's amendment will not be entered because the amendments especially the phrases, "fragment created in step(a)", "said steps(b) and c) follow step(a) but can occur in either order, followed thereafter by steps(d) and (e) in that order", "genomic", "comprises less than every molecule in the population of nucleic acid molecules in said sample" raise new issues that require further consideration and search.

Applicant is also informed that previously non-considered claims 157 and 158 are objected to over the recitation of the phrase "for fewer" in claim 157, rendering the claim incomplete.

W. Gary Jones
 Supervisory Patent Examiner
 Technology Center 1600